



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF
AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CORTNEY VOGELMAN

Defendant.

Case No. ED 09-256 M

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER
HEARING [Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a)(6); 18
U.S.C. § 3143 (a)]

The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the _____ for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of his/her [probation] [supervised release]; and

The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1 (a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143 (a),

The Court finds that:

A. (✓) The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (b) or (c). This finding is based on UNKNOWN BAIL RESOURCES
• ALLEGED OFFENSE / SUPERVISED RELEASE VIOLATION

1 • ABSCONDED FROM SUPERVISION

2 • RECENT DRUG USE

3 and/or

4 B. (U) The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and
5 convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose a danger to the safety of any
6 other person or the community if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (b) or (c). This
7 finding is based on: _____

8 • CRIMINAL HISTORY

11
12 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending the
13 further revocation proceedings.

14
15 DATED: 11/2/09

16
17
18 
19 DAVID T. BRISTOW
20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28